1	BRANDON FERNALD Brandon.fernald@fernaldlawgroup.com FERNALD LAW GROUP LLP	
2	FERNALD LAW GROUP LLP A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP	
3	510 W 6th Street Suite 700	
4	Los Angeles, California 90014 T:323.410.0320   F:323.410.0330   C:323.842.7473	
5	DAVID A. SKEELS (admitted pro hac	vice)
6	skeels@fsclaw.com JONATHAN T. SUDER (admitted pro hac vice)	
7	FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1	
8	jts@fsclaw.com FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, TX 76102 T: 817-334-0400 T: 817-324-0400	
9	T: 817-334-0400	
10	F: 817-334-0401	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SOUTHE	RN DIVISION
15 16		
	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,	
16	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL
16 17	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL  DECLARATION OF DAVID A.  SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF
16 17 18	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES,	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY
16 17 18 19	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL  DECLARATION OF DAVID A.  SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF  PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT
16 17 18 19 20	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES,	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  Defendant.  I, David A. Skeels, hereby declare as for	CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR LEAVE TO AMEND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  Defendant.  I, David A. Skeels, hereby declare as fo 1.  I am an attorney with the	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR LEAVE TO AMEND  llows: firm of Friedman, Suder & Cooke, counsel
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  Defendant.  I, David A. Skeels, hereby declare as fo 1.  I am an attorney with the	CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR LEAVE TO AMEND
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PROGRESSIVE SEMICONDUCTOR SOLUTIONS LLC,  Plaintiff,  vs.  QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  Defendant.  I, David A. Skeels, hereby declare as for 1. I am an attorney with the for Plaintiff Progressive Semiconductor	Case No.: 8:13-cv-1535 ODW (JEMx)  CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID A. SKEELS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND FOR LEAVE TO AMEND  llows: firm of Friedman, Suder & Cooke, counsel

- 2. I submit this sworn supplemental declaration to correct one fact recited in Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Modify Scheduling Order and for Leave to Amend (Dkt. 59) and in the supporting declaration (Dkt. 60) (collectively, "Reply").
- 3. The Reply accurately stated that Plaintiff circulated a draft joint status report on December 27, 2013. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. 60 at ¶ 3. However, the Reply also stated that Plaintiff did not receive any feedback from Defendants until January 24, 2014. In fact, Defendants provided feedback on January 10, 2014. This fact does not materially change the substance of Plaintiff's arguments.
- 4. Nevertheless, Plaintiff felt compelled to correct the record as soon as Defendants called the issue to Plaintiff's attention. Defendants did so yesterday afternoon, June 5, 2014, when they called Plaintiff and forwarded an email dated January 10, 2014 an email Plaintiff had not located during its preparation of the Reply. During yesterday's phone call, Defendants also raised a host of other issues and complaints relating to the Reply. Those other complaints are without merit.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed June 6, 2014 in Fort Worth, Texas.

DAVID A. SKEELS